

## **UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

**Patent and Trademark Office** COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.

08/858,245

023696

PTO-90C (Rev. 2/95)

05/19/97

PADOVANI

R **007A418** 

**EXAMINER** 

LM02/1012 Qualcomm Incorporated

Patents Department 5775 Morehouse Drive San Diego CA 92121-1714 VO, N

**ART UNIT** 

PAPER NUMBER

2682 DATE MAILED:

10/12/00

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 

# Application No. 08/858,245

Applicant(s)

# Office Action Summary

Examiner Nguyen Vo

Group Art Unit 2682

**Padovani** 

Responsive to communication(s) filed on	
☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> .	
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quay/1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.	
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Exter 37 CFR 1.136(a).	
Disposition of Claim	
X Claim(s) <u>4-23</u>	is/are pending in the applicat
Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration
Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
X Claim(s) <u>4-23</u>	is/are rejected.
Claim(s)	is/are objected to.
☐ Claims	are subject to restriction or election requirement.
Application Papers  See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948.  The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner.  The proposed drawing correction, filed on is approved	
<ul> <li>□ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic print</li> <li>Attachment(s)</li> <li>□ Notice of References Cited, PTO-892</li> <li>□ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Pape</li> <li>□ Interview Summary, PTO-413</li> <li>□ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO</li> <li>□ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152</li> </ul>	r No(s)
SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE FOLLOWING PAGES	

Application/Control Number: 08/858,245 Page 2

Art Unit: 2682

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Continued Prosecution Application

1. The request filed on 09/19/2000 for a Continued Prosecution Application (CPA) under 37 CFR 1.53(d) based on parent Application No. 08/858,245 is acceptable and a CPA has been established. An action on the CPA follows.

Since there is no amendment filed, the rejection as set forth in the previous action mailed on 04/21/2000 (paper No. 14) is now repeated. This action, however, is made non-final so that applicant has another chance to respond the examiner's rejection.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

3. Claims 4-9, 11-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 08/858,245

Art Unit: 2682

First of all, since independent claim 10 is amended to delete the recitations "regardless of the strength of pilot", claim 10 is no longer is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph.

As to independent claims 4, 11, 16-17 and 22, the original specification fails to disclose the limitations "regardless of the measurement value" as recited in claims 4, 11, 17 and "regardless of the strength of pilot" as recited in claims 16, 22. Applicant's attention is directed to the original specification, page 9 line 29 to page 10 line 6, wherein pilot strength is measured and transmitted to the base station only if the pilot strength satisfies three conditions, not regardless of the measurement value as recited in the claims. Therefore, it is clear that the measurement values are collected and saved only if they satisfy three conditions.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Andersson (5,375,123).

As to claim 10, Andersson discloses all the claimed limitations. More specifically, "a set of parameters" as claimed read on the set of transmission power to be used by a plurality of base stations (see column 4 lines 47-48); "operating the cellular network in a predetermined interval" as claimed

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 08/858,245

Art Unit: 2682

reads on the time interval in which a mobile station measures pilot signals, and reports the measured pilot signals to the base stations (see column 4 lines 14-49); "collecting and saving data from received pilot strength measurement messages" as claimed reads on receiving the measurement values at the base stations (see column 4 lines 14-49); and "revising said set of parameters in accordance with said data from received pilot strength measurement messages" as claimed reads on modifying the transmission power at the base stations (see column 4 lines 42-49).

Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Blakeney, II (5,267,261; 6. hereinafter simply referred to as Blakeney).

As to claim 10, Blakeney discloses all the claimed limitations. More specifically, "a set of parameters" as claimed read on the Active Set, Candidate Set and Neighbor Set at column 21 lines 48-68; "operating the cellular network in a predetermined interval" as claimed reads on the time interval in which a mobile station measures pilot signals, and reports the measured pilot signals in Blakeney, "collecting and saving data from received pilot strength measurement messages" as claimed reads on column 19 lines 13-22, column 20 lines 3-17, column 22 lines 46-56, column 23 line 19 to column 28; and "revising said set of parameters in accordance with said data from received pilot strength measurement messages" as claimed reads on modifying the above Active Set, Candidate Set and Neighbor Set as disclosed at column 19 lines 13-22, column 20 lines 3-17, column 22 lines 46-56, column 23 line 19 to column 28 in Blakeney.

Application/Control Number: 08/858,245 Page 5

Art Unit: 2682

#### Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 4-23 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Applicant, in his response, asserts that the rejection to claims under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, is improper. More particularly, applicant asserts that "In one embodiment, these pilot strength messages may be saved regardless of their strength" (see page 10 of applicant's response. Emphasis added by the examiner). The examiner, however, disagrees with applicant for two reasons. First, the examiner finds applicant's assertion unsupported. It is unclear where the above "one embodiment" is found in the original specification. If applicant still disagrees with the examiner, he is requested in the next response to clearly show which portions of the original specification that disclose and support the above "one embodiment" in which "these pilot strength messages may be saved regardless of their strength". Second, for the sake of argument, even if the original specification does disclose the above "one embodiment" in which "these pilot strength messages may be saved regardless of their strength", it still does not support the claimed limitations. As admitted by applicant, these pilot strength messages may be (or may be not) saved regardless of their strength. Therefore, it is entirely possible that these pilot strength messages are not saved regardless of their strength.

#### Conclusion

8. Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Page 6

Application/Control Number: 08/858,245

Art Unit: 2682

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 305-9051, (for formal communications intended for entry)

Or:

(703) 305-9508 (for informal or draft communications, please label

"PROPOSED" or "DRAFT")

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive,

Arlington. VA., Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Nguyen Vo, whose telephone number is (703) 308-6728. The Examiner can

normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday from 8:00 AM - 5:30 PM. The examiner can also be reached

on alternate Monday.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed

to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

Nguyen Vo

October 5, 2000

PRIMARY EXAMINER